

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 14			
Alexandria,	Virginia	22313-1450	
www.uspto.	gov		

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/077,280	02/14/2002	Ping-Ling Fan	67,200-671	67,200-671 3287	
7590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER			
TUNG & ASS	SOCIATES		GUADALUPE	E, YARITZA	
Suite 120 838 W. Long L	ake Road		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Bloomfield Hil		•	2859		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/077,280	•	FAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Yaritza Guadalup		2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespond nce ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minir will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this o	ly. communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2003 .						
,—	is action is non-fin	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for for	mal matters, pro	osecution as to t	he merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16 and 18-22</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	nent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
			ved by the Examin	iei.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	difficient services and services and services are services are services and services are service						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. & 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ir priority under 00	5.5.5. 3 116(a)	, (d) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been recei	ved					
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document			on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				l Stage			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		. 0.030			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provision	al application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Upon further consideration of the Prior Art of record, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 – 3, 5, 8 – 13, 15 and 18 - 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Admitted Prior Art [Hereinafter APA].

APA discloses an apparatus comprising a gauge (92) for measuring a gap between said baffle and the chamber wall (See Figure 4 and page 13, lines 1 - 5 of the Specification) in order to level said electrostatic chuck, which can be also translated into prevention of peeling or damaging said chamber wall. APA discloses an apparatus for use in various semiconductor fabrication operation, .e.g., wet cleaning semiconductor operation. APA further discloses the use of dual rotate magnets (DRM) (See Figure 4, # 10 and 11), a focus ring (20), and said electrostatic chuck having a horizontal or vertical movement.

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to the preamble of the claim: the preamble of the claim does not provide enough patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self – contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951). Furthermore, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be

employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the

claimed structural limitations. *In re Schreiber*, 44 USPQ2d 1429 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Regarding claims 11 - 13 and 15: The method as stated in claims 11 - 13 and 15 can be met by the regular operation of the apparatus and system disclosed by APA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2859

4. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted

Prior Art [Hereinaster APA].

APA discloses an apparatus as stated in paragraph 2 above.

APA does not discloses the particular orientation of the gap gauge, i.e., horizontal, as stated in claim 4. APA does not discloses a leveling gauge as stated in claim 6.

With respect to claim 4: APA discloses a gap gauge (92) which as best understood by the examiner can be considered a horizontal gap gauge due to its orientation. However, changing the location of the gap gauge from the location shown by APA to a location measuring the horizontal gap, absent any criticality, is only considered to be an obvious modification of APA apparatus that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be able to provide using routine experimentation since the courts have held that there is no invention in shifting the position if the operation of the device would not be thereby modified. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

With respect to the method as stated in claim 14: The method as stated in claim 14 can be met by the regular operation of the apparatus and system disclosed by APA.

Art Unit: 2859

5. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over APA in

view of Hunter (US 6,468,816).

APA discloses an apparatus as stated in paragraph 2 above.

APA does not discloses a leveling gauge as stated in claims 6 and 16.

Hunter discloses a processing system having a processing chamber (12) having a bubble

level (26) for determining the inclination of the blade (18) in order to avoid misalignment

during a process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to add a bubble level / leveling gauge as taught by Hunter

in the apparatus disclosed by APA in order to correct from damages due to expansion / shrinkage

on the surface to be leveled that may result in malfunction of the process overtime.

With respect to the method as stated in claim 16: The method as stated in claim 16 can

be met by the regular operation of the apparatus and system disclosed by APA.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,280

Art Unit: 2859

Conclusion

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yaritza Guadalupe whose telephone number is (703)305 -5676.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular

communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Del

Yaritza Guadalupe Patent Examiner Art Unit 2859 October 14, 2003 DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800